arXiv:1602.02719v1 [math.CO] 8 Feb 2016

MONOTONE SUBSEQUENCES IN HIGH-DIMENSIONAL PERMUTATIONS

NATHAN LINIAL AND MICHAEL SIMKIN

Abstract. This paper is part of the ongoing effort to study high-dimensional permutations. We prove the analogue to the Erdős-Szekeres theorem: For every $k \geq 1$, every order-n k-dimensional permutation contains a monotone subsequence of length $\Omega_k\left(\sqrt{n}\right)$, and this is tight. On the other hand, and unlike the classical case, the longest monotone subsequence in a random k-dimensional permutation of order n is asymptotically almost surely $\Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$.

The study of monotone subsequences in permutations began with the famous Erdős-Szekeres theorem [5]. Since then numerous proofs and generalizations have emerged (see Steele's survey [14]). We recall the theorem:

Theorem 1. *Every permutation in S_n contains a monotone subsequence of length at least $\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$, and this is tight: for every n there exists some permutation in S_n in which all monotone subsequences are of length at most $\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$.*

In order to derive a high-dimensional analogue of theorem 1 we need to define high-dimensional permutations and their monotone subsequences. If we view a permutation as a sequence of distinct real numbers, it is suggestive to consider sequences of points in $\mathbb{R}^k$, with coordinatewise monotonicity. The following argument is attributed by Kruskal [9] to de Bruijn: Repeatedly apply theorem 1 to conclude that every sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ must have a coordinatewise monotone subsequence of length $n^{\frac{1}{2^k}}$, and this is tight up to an additive constant. In [9] one considers projections of the points to a line and defines the length of the longest monotone subsequence according to the line with the longest such subsequence. Szabó and Tardos [15] consider sequences in $\mathbb{R}^k$ that avoid at least one of the 2^k coordinatewise orderings.

Here we adopt the perspective of [11] of a high-dimensional analogue of permutation matrices, and monotone subsequences are defined by strict coordinatewise monotonicity. We show (theorem 4) that every k-dimensional permutation of order n has a monotone subsequence of length $\Omega_k\left(\sqrt{n}\right)$, and this is tight up to the implicit multiplicative constant.

A related question, posed by Ulam [16] in 1961, concerns the distribution of H_n^1, the length of the longest increasing subsequence in a random member of S_n. In 1972 Hammersley [6] showed that there exists some $C > 0$ s.t. $H_n^1/\sqrt{n}$ converges to C in probability. In 1977 Logan and Shepp [12] showed that $C \geq 2$ and Vershik and Kerov [17] demonstrated that $C \leq 2$, yielding the statement:

Theorem 2. *Let H_n^1 be the length of the longest increasing subsequence in a uniformly random member of S_n. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_n^1 n^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 2$ in probability.*

Supported by ERC grant 339096 "High-Dimensional Combinatorics".

This result was famously refined in 1999 by Baik, Deift, and Johansson [1] who related the limiting distribution of H_n^1 to the Tracy-Widom distribution.

Using coordinatewise monotonicity Bollobás and Winkler [3] extended theorem 2 to show that the longest increasing subsequence among n independently random points in $\mathbb{R}^k$ is typically of length $c_k n^{\frac{1}{k}}$ for some $c_k \in (0, e)$. We show (theorem 13) that the longest monotone subsequence of a typical k-dimensional permutation of order n has length $\Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$. A k-dimensional permutation can be viewed as a set of n^k points in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, and it is interesting to note this asymptotic match with Bollobás and Winkler's result.

1. Definitions and Main Results

Note: throughout the paper all asymptotic expressions are in terms of $n \to \infty$ and k fixed.

As discussed in [11] and [10], we equate a permutation with the corresponding permutation matrix, i.e., an $n \times n$ $(0,1)$-matrix in which each row or column (henceforth, *line*) contains a single 1. We correspondingly define an *order-n k-dimensional permutation* as an $[n]^{k+1}$ $(0,1)$-array in which each line contains precisely one 1. A *line* in an $[n]^{k+1}$ array is comprised of all the positions obtained by fixing k coordinates and varying the remaining coordinate. We denote the set of order-n k-dimensional permutations by L_n^k.

For a given $A \in L_n^k$ and $\alpha \in [n]^k$, there is a unique $t \in [n]$ s.t. $A(\alpha, t) = 1$. Since t is uniquely defined by α, we can write $t = f_A(\alpha)$. The function f_A has the property that for every $1 \le j \le k$ and $i_1, \ldots, i_{j-1}, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k \in [n]$, $\{f_A(i_1, \ldots, i_{j-1}, t, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_k) : 1 \le t \le n\} = [n]$. In fact, the mapping $A \mapsto f_A$ is a bijection between L_n^k and the family of $[n]^k$ arrays in which every line contains each element in $[n]$. In dimension one this is exactly the identification between permutation matrices and permutations. This shows in particular that two-dimensional permutations, i.e., members of L_n^2, are order-n *Latin squares*.

We denote by G_A the *support* of $A \in L_n^k$, i.e., the set of $\alpha \in [n]^{k+1}$ s.t. $A(\alpha) = 1$.

Higher-dimensional monotonicity is defined coordinatewise:

Definition 3. A length-m monotone subsequence in $A \in L_n^k$ is a sequence $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^m \in G_A$ s.t. for every $1 \le j \le k+1$ the sequence $\alpha_j^1, \alpha_j^2, \ldots, \alpha_j^m$ is *strictly* monotone.

In dimension one this clearly coincides with the definition of a monotone subsequence in a permutation $\pi \in S_n$.

We are now ready to state a high-dimensional analogue of the Erdős-Szekeres theorem:

Theorem 4. *Every member of L_n^k contains a monotone subsequence of length $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$. The bound is tight up to the implicit multiplicative constant: for every n and k there exists some $A \in L_n^k$ s.t. every monotone subsequence in A has length $O(\sqrt{n})$.*

As an analogue of theorem 2 we have:

Theorem 5. *Let H_n^k be the length of the longest monotone subsequence in a uniformly random element of L_n^k. Then $E[H_n^k] = \Theta\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$ and $H_n^k = \Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$ a.a.s.*

Remark 6. Note the following symmetries of high-dimensional permutations:

(1) S_{k+1} acts on L_n^k by permuting the coordinates.
(2) For each $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, the group S_n acts on L_n^k by permuting the values of the i-th coordinate of each $A \in L_n^k$.
(3) A special case of 2, is reversal, i.e. applying the map $a \mapsto 1+n-a$ on the i-th coordinate.

Note that actions 1 and 3 preserve monotonicity.

2. A High-Dimensional Analogue of the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem

We begin by proving theorem 4. Due to the Erdős-Szekeres theorem it suffices to consider the case $k \geq 2$.

We define two partial orders on $[n]^{k+1}$: Let $\alpha, \beta \in [n]^{k+1}$. $\alpha <_1 \beta$ if for all $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, $\alpha_i < \beta_i$, and $\alpha <_2 \beta$ if for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ and, $\alpha_{k+1} > \beta_{k+1}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in [n]^k$ we write $\alpha < \beta$ if for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\alpha_i < \beta_i$.

Recall that the *height* $h(P)$ of a poset P is the size of the largest chain in P and its *width* $w(P)$ is the size of its largest anti-chain. An easy consequence of Dilworth's theorem [4] or Mirsky's theorem [13] is:

Lemma 7. *For every finite poset P there holds $h(P) \cdot w(P) \geq |P|$.*

We use lemma 7 to show that if A has no long monotone subsequences, then there is a large $A' \subseteq G_A$ that is an anti-chain in both $<_1$ and $<_2$. On the other hand, the next two lemmas give an upper bound on the size of anti-chains common to $<_1$ and $<_2$. This yields the theorem.

Lemma 8. *Let X be an $M \times N$ matrix in which every two entries in the same column are distinct. Let S be a set of positions in X such that $X_a = X_b$ for every $a, b \in S$ with a to the left and above b. Then $|S| \leq M + 2N$.*

Proof. If either $M = 1$ or $N = 1$, this is obvious. We prove the claim inductively by showing that either S has at most two positions in the rightmost column of X or at most one element in the topmost row of X. Indeed, if S has at least three entries in the rightmost column, then at least two of them, say a and b, are not in the top row. But there are no repetitions in the same column, so $X_a \neq X_b$. It follows that the only element S may have in the top row is at the top-right corner, for any other such element must equal both X_a and X_b, which is impossible. □

For $k = 2$ (i.e. the case of Latin squares) we already have the necessary tools to prove the lower bound in theorem 4: Let A be an order-n Latin square. A $<_1$ ($<_2$) monotone sequence in A is a sequence of positions progressing from upper-left to lower-right in which the values of f_A are increasing (decreasing). Order G_A by $<_1$, and assume there are no chains of length $r = \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor$. By lemma 7 there is an $<_1$-anti-chain $A_1 \subseteq G_A$ of size $\frac{|G_A|}{r} = \frac{n^2}{r}$. Order A_1 by $<_2$ and let $A' \subseteq A_1$ be an anti-chain. Note that A' is an anti-chain under both $<_1$ and $<_2$. If $S \subseteq [n]^2$ is the set of positions occupied by the elements of A', then $|S| \leq 3n$ by lemma 8. In other words $w(A_1) \leq 3n$, so by lemma 7 $h(A_1) \geq \frac{|A_1|}{w(A_1)} \geq \frac{n^2}{3nr} = \frac{n}{3r} \geq r$. The height of A_1 is realized by a $<_2$-monotone subsequence of length $h(A_1) \geq r = \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor$, which yields the lower bound.

We next extend lemma 8 to higher dimensions, and derive a similar technique to prove the general lower bound.



FIGURE 2.1. Partitioning $[3]^3$ into P_α, $\alpha \in [3]^2, \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = 1$. The value of $f_A(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \,(\mathrm{mod} 3)$ is written on each position in the array.

Lemma 9. *Let $A \in L_n^k$, and let $S \subseteq [n]^k$ be s.t. if $a, b \in S$ and $a < b$, then $f_A(a) = f_A(b)$. Then $|S| \leq 3(k-1)n^{k-1}$.*

Proof. The proof follows by partitioning $[n]^k$ and S into rectangular "slices" s.t. the restriction of f_A to a single slice satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 8: Let $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in [n]^{k-1}$. For $\alpha \in [n]^{k-1}$ we denote $M_\alpha = n - \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}\}$. For every $\alpha \in [n]^{k-1}$ s.t. $\min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}\} = 1$, let

$$P_\alpha = \{(\alpha + m\mathbf{1}, i) : 0 \leq m \leq M_\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq [n]^k$$

and $S_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap S$. It is easily verified that the distinct P_α constitute a partition of $[n]^k$ and hence the S_α are a partition of S (see figure 2.1).

We associate with P_α the $M \times n$ matrix X given by $X_{a,b} = f_A(\alpha + a\mathbf{1}, b)$. Under this identification the partial order $<$ on P_α corresponds to being above and to the left in X: $(\alpha + a_1\mathbf{1}, b_1) < (\alpha + a_2\mathbf{1}, b_2) \iff a_1 < a_2 \wedge b_1 < b_2$. Since A is a k-dimensional permutation, no two entries in the same column of X are equal. Apply lemma 8 to S_α, to conclude that $|S_\alpha| \leq 2M + n \leq 3n$.

There are fewer than $(k-1)n^{k-2}$ multi-indices $\alpha \in [n]^{k-1}$ s.t. $\min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}\} = 1$, and so $|S| \leq 3(k-1)n^{k-1}$, as desired. □

We are now ready to prove theorem 4:

Proof. Let $A \in L_n^k$ and assume A has no $<_1$-chain of length $r = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3(k-1)}} \right\rfloor$. By lemma 7 G_A has a $<_1$-anti-chain A_1 of cardinality $|A_1| \geq \frac{n^k}{r}$. Order A_1 according to $<_2$ and let $A_2 \subseteq A_1$ be a $<_2$-anti-chain, so that A_2 is an anti-chain under both $<_1$ and $<_2$. Let $S = \left\{x \in [n]^k : (x, f_A(x)) \in A_2\right\}$ be the projection of A_2 onto the first k coordinates. Since f_A is a function, the projection is injective and so $|S| = |A_2|$. By lemma 9, $|A_2| = |S| \leq 3(k-1)n^{k-1}$. Thus, $w(A_1) \leq 3(k-1)n^{k-1}$, and by lemma 7 $h(A_1) \geq \frac{|A_1|}{3(k-1)n^{k-1}} \geq \frac{n^k}{3(k-1)n^{k-1}r} \geq r$. But A_1's height is realized by a $<_2$-chain in $A_1 \subseteq G_A$, and so G_A contains an $<_2$-chain of length at least $r = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3(k-1)}} \right\rfloor$. This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

For the second part of the theorem, for every n and k we construct $A \in L_n^k$ with no monotone subsequences of length $O(\sqrt{n})$. When n is prime, we can use a simple construction that is similar to one that shows the tightness of the Erdős-Szekeres theorem. This construction is then modified to deal with composite n. So let us

assume that n is prime. Let $M = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}} \right\rfloor$, and define A as follows:

$$A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1}) = 1 \iff M \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i + \alpha_{k+1} = 0 \,(\mathrm{mod} n)$$

Since n is prime it follows easily that A is a k-dimensional permutation.

We want to show that if $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^m \in G_A$ is a monotone subsequence, then for every $1 \leq j < m$, $\left\|\alpha^{j+1} - \alpha^j\right\|_1$ is large. Because the sequence is monotone we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\|\alpha^{j+1} - \alpha^j\right\|_1 = \left\|\alpha^m - \alpha^1\right\|_1 \leq (k+1)\, n$, which gives an upper bound on m.

We may assume w.l.o.g. that the α^j_{k+1}s are increasing; otherwise, consider the monotone subsequence $\alpha^m, \alpha^{m-1}, \ldots, \alpha^1$. We partition the set of coordinates $[k] = S^+ \dot\cup S^-$ into those on which the sequence is increasing, resp. decreasing. Since all the α^js satisfy the same linear equation modulo n, for every $1 \leq j < m$ there is some $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$M \sum_{i \in S^+} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| + \alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j = M \sum_{i \in S^-} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| + d_j n \tag{2.1}$$

Let ℓ_-, ℓ_0, ℓ_+ be the number of indices j s.t. $d_j < 0, d_j = 0, d_j > 0$, respectively. Then $m = \ell_- + \ell_0 + \ell_+ + 1$. If $d_j < 0$ then $\sum_{i \in S^-} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| > \frac{n}{M}$. Hence

$$\ell_- \frac{n}{M} < \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i \in S^-} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| = \sum_{i \in S^-} \left|\alpha_i^m - \alpha_i^1\right| < \left|S^-\right| n$$

so we have $\ell_- < M\,|S^-|$. Similarly, if $d_j > 0$ then

$$M \sum_{i \in S^+ \cup \{k+1\}} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| \geq M \sum_{i \in S^+} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| + \alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j > n$$

and $\ell_+ < M\,(|S^+| + 1)$. If $d_j = 0$, taking equation 2.1 modulo M shows that $\alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j = 0 \,(\mathrm{mod} M)$. Since $\alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j \neq 0$ we have $\alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j \geq M$. Therefore $\ell_0 M \leq \alpha_{k+1}^m - \alpha_{k+1}^1 < n$ so $\ell_0 < \frac{n}{M}$. Putting everything together, we have:

$$m = \ell_- + \ell_0 + \ell_+ + 1 < M\,(k+1) + \frac{n}{M} + 1 \leq 2\sqrt{n\,(k+1)} + 1 + o\,(1)$$

yielding the upper bound.

In this construction we need M and n to be relatively prime. For composite n this isn't necessarily the case, and we offer two remedies: The first is an appeal to number theory to produce $M \approx \sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}}$ coprime to n. It is known [2] that for large x, there is always a prime in the interval $\left[x - x^{0.525}, x\right]$. Therefore, we can find three distinct primes in an interval $\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}}, (1 + o\,(1))\sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}}\right]$. At least one of these must be coprime to n, since their product exceeds n for large n. This implies that all monotone subsequences have length $\leq (2 + o\,(1))\sqrt{(k+1)\,n}$.

The second approach is easy to generalize, as done in the proof of theorem 12. Take $M = \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{k+1}} \right\rfloor$ as before. Let $g = \gcd(M, n)$ and define the permutation $\pi \in S_n$ as follows (all values are taken modulo n):

$$\pi = \left(M, 2M, \ldots, \frac{n}{g}M, 1 + M, \ldots, 1 + \frac{n}{g}M, \ldots, g - 1 + M, \ldots, g - 1 + \frac{n}{g}M\right)$$

Set $f_A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) = -\pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i\right)$. Note that if $\gcd(M, n) = 1$, this coincides with the construction above. Now, if $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^m \in G_A$ is a monotone subsequence increasing in the last coordinate we bound it in a similar manner to the calculation above. Using the same notations we have, for every $1 \leq j < m$:

$$M \sum_{i \in S^+} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| + \alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j = M \sum_{i \in S^-} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| + d_j n + r_j$$

where $|r_j| < g \leq M$. If $d_j < 0$ we have $M \sum_{i \in S^-} \left|\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right| > n - M$, so $\ell_- < \frac{n}{n-M} |S^-|$. Similarly $\ell_+ < \frac{n}{n-M} (|S^+| + 1)$. If $d_j = 0$ and $\alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_{k+1}^j < M$, then we have $r_j \neq 0$. But then, by the definition of π, we must have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \left(\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right) \geq \frac{n}{g} - 1 \geq \frac{n}{M} - 1$$

Therefore $\ell_0 < \frac{kn}{\frac{n}{M} - 1} + \frac{n}{M} = (2 + o(1)) \sqrt{(k+1)\, n}$. So

$$m < \ell_- + \ell_0 + \ell_+ + 1 \leq (3 + o(1)) \sqrt{(k+1)\, n}$$

□

Most proofs of theorem 1 actually yield a more general statement:

Theorem 10. *Let r, s and n be positive integers with $rs < n$. Then every permutation in S_n contains either an increasing subsequence of length $r+1$, or a decreasing subsequence of length $s+1$. The bound is tight: if $rs \geq n$ then there is a permutation in S_n with neither an increasing subsequence of length $r+1$ nor a decreasing subsequence of length $s+1$.*

It is possible to extend theorem 4 in a similar fashion. To this end we refine our notion of monotonicity. In dimension one we distinguish between ascending and descending subsequences, and we need something similar in higher dimensions.

Definition 11. A vector $\vec{c} \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$ induces a partial order $x <_{\vec{c}} y$ on $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ as follows: For $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ if $c_i = 1$, then $x_i < y_i$ and if $c_i = 0$, then $y_i < x_i$.

Theorem 12. *Let $\vec{c}, \vec{d} \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$ differ in exactly one coordinate. Let $rs < \frac{n}{3(k-1)}$. Then every $A \in L_n^k$, contains either a $<_{\vec{c}}$-monotone subsequence of length r or a $<_{\vec{d}}$-monotone subsequence of length s.*

The bound is tight up to the multiplicative constants: If $r, s \geq 9(k+10)$ and $rs > 5kn$, then there exists $A \in L_n^k$ with no $<_{\vec{c}}$-monotone subsequence of length r nor a $<_{\vec{d}}$-monotone subsequence of length s.

Proof. Using the symmetries from remark 6 we may assume w.l.o.g. that $<_{\vec{c}} = <_1$ and $<_{\vec{d}} = <_2$.

The proof of the lower bound is similar to the proof of the lower bound in theorem 4, and we provide only a sketch. Lemma 9 gives an upper bound of $3(k-1)\, n^{k-1}$ on the size of any anti-chain under both $<_1$ and $<_2$. Two applications of lemma 7 yield the lower bound.

For the upper bound, assume w.l.o.g. that $r \geq s$. We construct $\pi \in S_n$ and $A \in L_n^k$ as before, with $M = \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2k} \right\rfloor$. Let $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^m \in G_A$ be a $<_1$-monotone

subsequence. Then the sequence is increasing in every coordinate. For all j, if $\alpha_{k+1}^{j+1} - \alpha_k^j < M$ then $\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\alpha_i^{j+1} - \alpha_i^j\right) \geq \frac{n}{g} \geq \frac{n}{M}$. Thus

$$m \leq \frac{n}{M} + \frac{kn}{\frac{n}{M}} + 1 = \frac{n}{M} + kM + 1 \leq \frac{2kn}{s}\left(1 + \frac{2k}{s}\right) + \frac{s}{2} + 1$$

Using the assumptions that $\frac{r}{5k} > \frac{n}{s}$ and $r \geq s \geq 9\,(k+10)$, we have:

$$m \leq r\left(\frac{2}{5}\left(1 + \frac{2}{9}\right) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r}\right) \leq r$$

Now, let $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^m \in G_A$ be a $<_2$-monotone subsequence. For $1 \leq j \leq m$ define $s_j = M\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^j$. This is an increasing sequence, and $s_{j+1} - s_j \geq M$ for all j. By definition of A, $\alpha_{k+1}^j = s_j \,(\mathrm{mod} n) + r_j$ for some $0 \leq r_j < M$. Because $\alpha_{k+1}^1, \alpha_{k+1}^2, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1}^m$ is decreasing, if for some j, s_j and s_{j+1} fall in the same interval of the form $[dn+1, (d+1)\,n]$ (for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$), then $s_j + r_j > s_{j+1} \implies s_{j+1} - s_j < r_j < M$, a contradiction. Therefore the s_j's fall into distinct intervals of the form $[dn+1, (d+1)\,n]$. But for every j, $0 < s_j \leq Mkn$. Since $[0, Mkn]$ contains only $\left\lceil \frac{Mkn}{n} \right\rceil \leq Mk + 1$ intervals of length n, we have $m \leq Mk + 1 \leq \frac{s}{2} + 1 < s$. □

3. Monotone Subsequences in Random High-Dimensional Permutations

As mentioned in the introduction, the longest monotone subsequence of a random permutation is typically of length $2\sqrt{n}$. In view of the Erdős-Szekres theorem this means that the random case and the worst case are of the same order of magnitude and differ by only a constant factor. In higher dimensions this is no longer the case. The longest monotone subsequence of a typical element in L_n^k has length $\Theta_k\left(n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\right)$.

We define the random variable H_n^k - the length of the longest monotone subsequence in a uniformly random element of L_n^k, and prove:

Theorem 13. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$:*

1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \in \left[\frac{1}{k+2}, e + \varepsilon\right]$ asymptotically almost surely.*
2. $1 - \frac{\ln k + 1}{k+1} - o\,(1) \leq E\left[H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}}\right] \leq e + o\,(1)$.

There are 2^{k+1} distinct order types of monotone subsequences, indexed by binary vectors $\vec{c} \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$. By permuting coordinates we see that the distribution of the longest $<_{\vec{c}}$-monotone subsequence in a random element of L_n^k is independent of $\vec{c}$. Thus it suffices to prove theorem 13 for $<_{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$-monotone subsequences. For brevity of notation we write $<$ in place of $<_{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$.

The following lemma is useful in dealing with uniformly random elements of L_n^k:

Lemma 14. *Given $A \in L_n^k$ and $\pi \in S_n$, let $\pi\,(A) \in L_n^k$ be obtained by permuting the first coordinate of G_A according to π. If A and π are chosen independently uniformly at random, then $\pi\,(A)$ is uniformly distributed in L_n^k.*

Proof. It's enough to show that the S_n-action on L_n^k described in the statement is free. Indeed, assume $\pi\,(A) = A$. Let $i \in [n]$. There exists a unique x s.t. $A_{(i,x,1,\ldots,1)} = 1$. Since $\pi\,(A) = A$ we have $A_{(\pi(i),x,1,\ldots,1)} = 1$. But then $\pi\,(i) = i$ is the unique y s.t. $A_{(y,x,1,\ldots,1)} = 1$. Thus π is the identity. □

We have arbitrarily chosen for π to act on the first coordinate, but occasionally (e.g., in the next lemma) we have it act on other coordinates, as needed.

A useful corollary of this lemma follows:

Corollary 15. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \in [n]^k$ be distinct positions. For a uniformly drawn $A \in L_n^k$,*

$$\Pr\left[f_A(\alpha_1) < f_A(\alpha_2) < \ldots < f_A(\alpha_m)\right] \leq \frac{1}{m!}$$

We first prove the upper bounds in theorem 13.

Proposition 16.

(1) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there holds* $\Pr\left[H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} > e + \varepsilon\right] = o(1)$.

(2) $E\left[H_n^k\right] n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \leq e + o(1)$.

Proof. We bound the expected number of length-m monotone subsequences in a random k-dimensional permutation. For every increasing sequence of positions $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \in [n]^k$ and $A \in L_n^k$ we define

$$X_\alpha(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & f_A(\alpha_1) < f_A(\alpha_2) < \ldots < f_A(\alpha_m) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

By lemma 15 $E\left[X_\alpha(A)\right] = \Pr\left[X_\alpha(A) = 1\right] \leq \frac{1}{m!}$ for a uniform $A \in L_n^k$. Let S be the set of all length-m increasing sequences of positions in $[n]^k$. Clearly, $|S| = \binom{n}{m}^k$ so by linearity of expectation:

$$\Pr\left[H_n^k \geq m\right] = \Pr\left[\sum_{\alpha \in S} X_\alpha(A) > 0\right] \leq E\left[\sum_{\alpha \in S} X_\alpha(A)\right] \leq \frac{\binom{n}{m}^k}{m!} \leq \left(\left(\frac{e}{m}\right)^{k+1} n^k\right)^m$$

Let $c = e + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $m = cn^{\frac{k}{k+1}}$. Then:

$$\Pr\left[H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} > c\right] = \Pr\left[H_n^k \geq m\right] \leq \left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^{(k+1)cn^{\frac{k}{k+1}}} = o(1)$$

proving the first claim in the proposition. Further:

$$E\left[H_n^k\right] n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \leq \left(m \Pr\left[H_n^k < m\right] + n \Pr\left[H_n^k \geq m\right]\right) n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \leq c + n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^{(k+1)cn^{\frac{k}{k+1}}} = c + o(1)$$

which proves the second claim. □

The proof of the lower bounds is more intricate. Fix some $C > 0$ and let $m = Cn^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$. For $1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor$, let $D_i = [(i-1)m + 1, im]^{k+1}$ be the diagonal subcubes of $[n]^{k+1}$. For a uniformly random $A \in L_n^k$ let Z_i be the indicator random variable of the event that A is not all zero on D_i. Clearly, $H_n^k \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i$, since $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha \in D_i, \beta \in D_j$, and $i < j$. Indeed we prove lower bounds on H_n^k by bounding $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i$. It is convenient to express everything in terms of the random variable $Y_n = n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i$. We show that for an appropriate choice of C (see below) Y_n converges in probability to a constant in $(0, 1)$. These are our main steps:

(1) Note that $Y_n \leq \frac{1}{C} + o(1)$.
(2) Prove that $E[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$ (proposition 18).
(3) Show that if $C < 1$, then $\Pr\left[Y_n > C^{k+1} + \varepsilon\right] = o(1)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (corollary 21).
(4) By letting $1 > C > 0$ be the unique solution to $\frac{C^k}{1+C^{k+1}} = C^{k+1}$, conclude that $\Pr\left[Y_n < C^{k+1} - \varepsilon\right] = o(1)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (proposition 22). Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n = C^{k+1}$ in probability.

In step 1 we assume only that $C > 0$. The claim in step 2 applies to all $C > 0$, and we optimize the bound on $E[Y_n]$ by a particular choice of C. Step 3 applies to all $1 > C > 0$. Finally in step 4 we assign a value to C to derive the conclusion that Y_n converges in probability to C^{k+1}.

We start with step 2, a lower bound on $E[Y_n]$:

Lemma 17. *For* $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}$, $\Pr[Z_i = 1] \geq \frac{C^{k+1}}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$.

Proof. Let $X_i = \sum_{\alpha \in D_i} A_\alpha$ be the number of non-zero entries in D_i. Note that $X_i > 0 \iff Z_i = 1$. We prove a lower bound on the probability of this event by a second moment argument.

Clearly, $E[X_i] = \frac{|D_i|}{n} = C^{k+1}$, since $\Pr[A_\alpha = 1] = \frac{1}{n}$ for every $\alpha \in [n]^{k+1}$.

We next seek an upper bound on $E\left[X_i^2\right]$.

$$E\left[X_i^2\right] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in D_i} E[A_\alpha A_\beta] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in D_i} \Pr[A_\alpha A_\beta = 1]$$

There are m^{k+1} terms with $\alpha = \beta$, each being $\frac{1}{n}$.

To consider $\alpha \neq \beta$, assume w.l.o.g. that $\alpha = (1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1}), \beta = (2, \beta_2, \beta_3, \ldots, \beta_{k+1})$. There exist unique $x, y \in [n]$ s.t. $A_{(x,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_{k+1})} = A_{(y,\beta_2,\beta_3,\ldots,\beta_{k+1})} = 1$. Choose a random $\pi \in S_n$ and $\pi(A)$ denote the k-dimensional permutation obtained by permuting the first coordinate of G_A according to π. The event $\pi(A)_\alpha \pi(A)_\beta = 1$ is the same as $\pi(x) = 1$ and $\pi(y) = 2$ and its probability is $\frac{1}{n(n-1)}$ if $x \neq y$, and 0 otherwise. In general, α and β may agree on the first coordinate and differ elsewhere. In this case choose some coordinate on which they disagree and permute it according to π, to obtain the same bound on the probability. There are fewer than $m^{2(k+1)}$ such pairs $\alpha, \beta \in D_i$, so

$$E\left[X_i^2\right] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in D_i} \Pr[A_\alpha A_\beta = 1] \leq m^{k+1}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{m^{k+1}}{n(n-1)}\right) = \frac{m^{k+1}}{n}\left(1 + \frac{m^{k+1}}{n-1}\right)$$

Noting that $E[X_i] = \frac{m^{k+1}}{n} = C^{k+1}$, we have:

$$E\left[X_i^2\right] \leq E[X_i]\left(1 + \frac{n}{n-1}E[X_i]\right) = C^{k+1}\left(1 + \frac{n}{n-1}C^{k+1}\right)$$

The second moment method yields:

$$\Pr[Z_i = 1] = \Pr[X_i > 0] \geq \frac{E[X_i]^2}{E\left[X_i^2\right]} = \frac{C^{k+1}}{C^{k+1} + 1 + o(1)} = \frac{C^{k+1}}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$$

□

We conclude:

Proposition 18.
$E[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$, consequently $E\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} H_n^k\right] \geq 1 - \frac{\ln k+1}{k+1} - o(1)$.

Proof. As observed earlier:

$$E[Y_n] = E\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}} Z_i\right] = n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor \Pr[Z_i = 1]$$

So, by lemma 17:

$$E[Y_n] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1}+1} - o(1)$$

For all C, $E\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} H_n^k\right] \geq E[Y_n]$. The optimal bound is attained when $C = k^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$, yielding:

$$E\left[n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} H_n^k\right] \geq \frac{k^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}{k+1} - o(1) \geq 1 - \frac{\ln k + 1}{k+1} - o(1)$$

□

To prove the lower bound in theorem 13 part (1), we apply a Chernoff bound to the events $\{Z_i = 1\}_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{m}}$. To overcome the dependencies among these events we utilize the version of the Chernoff inequality from ([7], theorem 1.1):

Theorem 19. *Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and let $\{X_i\}_{i \in [N]}$ be Boolean random variables such that for all $S \subseteq [N]$, $\Pr\left[\prod_{i \in X} X_i = 1\right] \leq \alpha^{|S|}$. Then $\Pr\left[\sum_{i \in [N]} X_i \geq \beta N\right] \leq e^{-ND(\beta \| \alpha)}$, where $D(\beta \parallel \alpha) = \beta \ln\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) + (1-\beta)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha}\right)$ is the relative entropy function.*

Lemma 20. *Assume $C < 1$. Let $S \subseteq \left\{1, 2, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor\right\}$. Then $\Pr\left[\prod_{i \in S} Z_i = 1\right] \leq \alpha^{|S|}$ for all $C^{k+1} < \alpha < 1$ and large enough n.*

Proof. Note that $Z_i = 1$ for all $i \in S$ iff there exist positions $\left\{\beta^i\right\}_{i \in S}$ s.t. $\beta^i \in D_i$ for all $i \in S$ and $A_{\beta^i} = 1$ for all i. We bound the probability of this occurrence using a union bound.

Let $\left\{\beta^i\right\}_{i \in S}$ be positions s.t. $\beta^i \in D_i$ for all $i \in S$. Generate a uniformly random $\pi(A) \in L_n^k$ by uniformly and independently drawing $A \in L_n^k$ and $\pi \in S_n$, and applying π to the first coordinate of G_A. For every i, there exists a unique $x_i \in [n]$ s.t. $A_{(x_i, \beta_2^i, \ldots, \beta_{k+1}^i)} = 1$. So $\pi(A)_{\beta^i} = 1$ iff $\pi(x_i) = \beta_1^i$. Thus $\pi(A)_{\beta^i} = 1$ for all $i \in S$ iff $\pi(x_i) = \beta_1^i$ for all $i \in S$, and this occurs with probability at most $\frac{(n-|S|)!}{n!}$. There are $m^{(k+1)|S|}$ such coordinate sequences, and so, by a union bound:

$$\Pr\left[\prod_{i \in S} Z_i = 1\right] \leq m^{(k+1)|S|} \frac{(n-|S|)!}{n!} \leq \left(\frac{m^{k+1}}{n-|S|}\right)^{|S|}$$

We have: $|S| \leq \frac{n}{m} = \frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}$. Thus:

$$\Pr\left[\prod_{i \in S} Z_i = 1\right] \leq \left(\frac{C^{k+1} n}{n - \frac{1}{C} n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}\right)^{|S|} = \left((1 + o(1)) C^{k+1}\right)^{|S|}$$

and the result follows. □

Lemma 20 allows us to apply theorem 19 to the variables $\{Z_i\}_{1\leq i\leq \frac{n}{m}}$ and conclude:

Corollary 21. *For all $\beta > C^{k+1}$, for large enough n it holds:*

$$\Pr\left[Y_n > \beta\right] \leq \exp\left(-n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}\gamma\right)$$

for some $\gamma > 0$.

We are now ready to complete the proof of theorem 13:

Proposition 22. *Let $0 < C < 1$ be the unique solution to the equation $C\left(1 + C^{k+1}\right) = 1$. Then $\Pr\left[Y_n < \frac{1}{k+2}\right] = o\left(1\right)$.*

Proof. By proposition 18

$$E\left[Y_n\right] \geq \frac{C^k}{C^{k+1}+1} - o\left(1\right) = C^{k+1} - o\left(1\right) \tag{3.1}$$

For an integer n and $0 < x < C^{k+1}$, let $p_n = \Pr\left[Y_n \leq x\right]$. Since $Y_n \leq \frac{1}{C} + o(1)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$E\left[Y_n\right] \leq p_n x + \left(1-p_n\right)\left(C^{k+1}+\varepsilon\right) + \left(\frac{1}{C} + o\left(1\right)\right)\Pr\left[Y_n \geq C^{k+1}+\varepsilon\right] \tag{3.2}$$

Corollary 21 yields:

$$\Pr\left[Y_n \geq C^{k+1}+\varepsilon\right] = o\left(1\right)$$

Combining inequalities 3.1 and 3.2 and rearranging:

$$p_n\left(C^{k+1}-x\right) \leq \varepsilon\left(1-p_n\right) + o\left(1\right)$$

But this holds for all n and $\varepsilon > 0$, so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = 0$.

The result follows by taking $x^{k+1} = \frac{1}{k+2} < C^{k+1}$. □

4. Concluding Remarks and Open Problems

What are the best possible constant factors in theorems 4 and 12? We have preferred the clarity of arguments over improved constants, and the bounds we present can be somewhat improved with some additional effort, but we surely do not know what the best constants are. Most concretely: What is the precise statement about the existence of long monotone subsequences in Latin squares?

For $A \in L_n^k$ and $\vec{c} \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$, let $\ell_{\vec{c}}\left(A\right)$ be the length of the longest $<_{\vec{c}}$-monotone subsequence in A. Let $\ell\left(A\right) = \left(\ell_{\vec{c}}\left(A\right)\right)_{\vec{c}\in\{0,1\}^{k+1}}$. We seek a better description of the set $\left\{\ell\left(A\right) : A \in L_n^k\right\}$. By theorem 4 we know that $\min_{x\in\ell_n^k}\left\|x\right\|_\infty = \Theta\left(\sqrt{n}\right)$. Theorem 12 gives fairly tight sufficient conditions under which we can conclude that $x_{\vec{c}} \geq r \vee x_{\vec{d}} \geq s$ for $\vec{c},\vec{d} \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$ that differ in precisely one coordinate.

The proof of theorem 13 relied only on very limited randomness. Recall that L_n^k splits into *isotopy classes* where permutations are reachable from each other by applications of symmetries 2 in remark 6. Note that theorem 13 applies when the high-dimensional permutation is chosen uniformly at random from a particular isotopy class, rather than all of L_n^k. Beyond the randomness inherent in these symmetries, we have little insight to offer into the structure of random high-dimensional permutations. In our view, it's a major challenge in this field to understand (fully) random high-dimensional permutations. In particular, we do not know how to uniformly sample elements of L_n^k. Even for Latin squares, the best known method

is Jacobson and Matthews' Markov chain [8], which is not known to be rapidly mixing.

We believe theorem 13 can be strengthened, and there exist constants c_k s.t. $H_n^k n^{-\frac{k}{k+1}} \to c_k$ in probability. This is borne out by numerical experiments, which indicate that $H_n^2 n^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ is concentrated in a small interval. We do not know how to prove this, but perhaps super-additive ergodic theorems à la Hammersley [6] may apply. We also note that the analogous result for random points in Euclidean space is known [3].

References

[1] Jinho Baik, Percy Deift, and Kurt Johansson. On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(4):1119–1178, 1999.

[2] Roger C Baker, Glyn Harman, and János Pintz. The difference between consecutive primes, ii. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 83(03):532–562, 2001.

[3] Béla Bollobás and Peter Winkler. The longest chain among random points in euclidean space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 103(2):347–353, 1988.

[4] Robert P Dilworth. A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 161–166, 1950.

[5] Paul Erdös and George Szekeres. A combinatorial problem in geometry. *Compositio Mathematica*, 2:463–470, 1935.

[6] JM Hammersley. A few seedlings of research in proc. of the sixth berkeley symp. math. statist. and probabability, vol. 1 (1972), 345–394, 1972.

[7] Russell Impagliazzo and Valentine Kabanets. Constructive proofs of concentration bounds. In *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques*, pages 617–631. Springer, 2010.

[8] Mark T Jacobson and Peter Matthews. Generating uniformly distributed random latin squares. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 4(6):405–437, 1996.

[9] Joseph B Kruskal. Monotonic subsequences. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 4(2):264–274, 1953.

[10] Nathan Linial and Zur Luria. On the vertices of the d-dimensional birkhoff polytope. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 51(1):161–170, 2014.

[11] Nathan Linial and Zur Luria. An upper bound on the number of high-dimensional permutations. *Combinatorica*, 34(4):471–486, 2014.

[12] Benjamin F Logan and Larry A Shepp. A variational problem for random young tableaux. *Advances in mathematics*, 26(2):206–222, 1977.

[13] Leon Mirsky. A dual of dilworth's decomposition theorem. *American Mathematical Monthly*, pages 876–877, 1971.

[14] J Michael Steele. Variations on the monotone subsequence theme of erdös and szekeres. In *Discrete probability and algorithms*, pages 111–131. Springer, 1995.

[15] Tibor Szabó and Gábor Tardos. A multidimensional generalization of the erdos–szekeres lemma on monotone subsequences. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 10(06):557–565, 2001.

[16] Stanislaw M Ulam. Monte carlo calculations in problems of mathematical physics. *Modern Mathematics for the Engineers*, pages 261–281, 1961.

[17] Anatoly M Vershik and Sergei V Kerov. Asymptotics of plancherel measure of symmetrical group and limit form of young tables. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 233(6):1024–1027, 1977.

School of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel.

E-mail address: nati@cs.huji.ac.il

Institute of Mathematics and Federmann Center for the Study of Rationality, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel.

E-mail address: menahem.simkin@mail.huji.ac.il